

# A SHORT PROOF OF LEVINSON'S THEOREM

MATTHEW P. YOUNG

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1974, Levinson [Le] proved that  $1/3$  of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the critical line. Apparently his work has a reputation for being difficult, and many textbook authors ([T], [I], [KV], [IK]) present Selberg's method [S] instead (which gives a very small positive percent of zeros). Here we show how innovations in the subject can greatly simplify the proof of Levinson's theorem.

To set some terminology, let  $N(T)$  denote the number of zeros  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < T$ , and let  $N_0(T)$  denote the number of such critical zeros with  $\beta = 1/2$ . Define  $\kappa$  by  $\kappa = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_0(T)}{N(T)}$ . Levinson's result is that  $N_0(T) > \frac{1}{3}N(T)$  for  $T$  sufficiently large.

The basic technology to prove that many zeros lie on the critical line is an asymptotic for a mollified second moment of the zeta function (and its derivative). This is well-known, and clear presentations can be found in various sources ([Le], [C1], etc.). We briefly summarize the setup. Let  $L = \log T$ , and suppose  $Q(x)$  is a real polynomial satisfying  $Q(0) = 1$ . Set

$$V(s) = Q\left(-\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{ds}\right) \zeta(s).$$

Levinson's original approach naturally had  $Q(x) = 1 - x$ , but Conrey [C2] showed how more general choices of  $Q$  can be used to improve results. For historical comparison we shall eventually choose  $Q(x) = 1 - x$ . Let  $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} - R/L$  for  $R$  a positive real number to be chosen later,  $M = T^\theta$  for some  $0 < \theta < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $P(x) = \sum_j a_j x^j$  be a real polynomial satisfying  $P(0) = 0$ ,  $P(1) = 1$ . Suppose that  $\psi$  is a mollifier of the form

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{h \leq M} \frac{\mu(h)}{h^{s+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma_0}} P\left(\frac{\log M/h}{\log M}\right),$$

Again, for historical reasons we eventually take  $P(x) = x$ . The conclusion is that

$$(1.1) \quad \kappa \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R} \log \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T |V\psi(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \right) + o(1).$$

The evaluation of the mollified second moment of zeta appearing in (1.1) is considered to be the difficult part of Levinson's proof (taking up over 30 pages in [Le]). Conrey and Ghosh [CG] gave a simpler proof. Here we show how to obtain this asymptotic in an easier way.

**Theorem 1.** *We have*

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T |V\psi(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt = c(P, Q, R, \theta) + o(1),$$

---

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under agreement Nos. DMS-0758235 and DMS-0635607. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$(1.3) \quad c(P, Q, R, \theta) = 1 + \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{2Rv} \left( \frac{d}{dx} e^{R\theta x} P(x+u) Q(v+\theta x) \Big|_{x=0} \right)^2 dudv.$$

With  $P(x) = x$ ,  $Q(x) = 1 - x$ ,  $R = 1.3$ ,  $\theta = .5$ , and using any standard computer package,

$$c(P, Q, R, \theta) = 2.35 \dots, \quad \text{and } \kappa \geq 0.34 \dots$$

## 2. A SMOOTHING ARGUMENT

To simplify forthcoming arguments, it is preferable to smooth the integral in (1.2). Suppose that  $w(t)$  is a smooth function satisfying the following properties:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \leq w(t) \leq 1 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.2) \quad w \text{ has compact support in } [T/4, 2T],$$

$$(2.3) \quad w^{(j)}(t) \ll_j \Delta^{-j}, \text{ for each } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{where } \Delta = T/L.$$

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $w$  satisfying (2.1)-(2.3), and  $\sigma = 1/2 - R/L$ ,*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) |V\psi(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = c(P, Q, R, \theta) \widehat{w}(0) + O(T/L),$$

*uniformly for  $R \ll 1$ , where  $c(P, Q, R, \theta)$  is given by (1.3).*

We briefly explain how to deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2. By choosing  $w$  to satisfy (2.1)-(2.3) and in addition to be an upper bound for the characteristic function of the interval  $[T/2, T]$ , and with support in  $[T/2 - \Delta, T + \Delta]$ , we get

$$\int_{T/2}^T |V\psi(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \leq c(P, Q, R, \theta) \widehat{w}(0) + O(T/L).$$

Note  $\widehat{w}(0) = T/2 + O(T/L)$ . We similarly get a lower bound. Summing over dyadic segments gives the full integral.

## 3. THE MEAN-VALUE RESULTS

Rather than working directly with  $V(s)$ , instead consider the following general integral:

$$(3.1) \quad I(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) |\psi(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \ll L^{-1}$  (with any fixed implied constant). The main result is

**Lemma 3.** *We have*

$$(3.2) \quad I(\alpha, \beta) = c(\alpha, \beta) \widehat{w}(0) + O(T/L),$$

*uniformly for  $\alpha, \beta \ll L^{-1}$ , where*

$$(3.3) \quad c(\alpha, \beta) = 1 + \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} M^{-\beta x - \alpha y} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T^{-v(\alpha+\beta)} P(x+u) P(y+u) du \Big|_{x=y=0}.$$

*Proof that Lemma 3 implies Theorem 2.* Define  $I_{\text{smooth}}$  to be the left hand side of (2.4). Then

$$(3.4) \quad I_{\text{smooth}} = Q\left(-\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{d\alpha}\right) Q\left(-\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{d\beta}\right) I(\alpha, \beta) \Big|_{\alpha=\beta=-R/L}.$$

We first argue that we can obtain  $c(P, Q, R, \theta)$  by applying the above differential operator to  $c(\alpha, \beta)$ . Since  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $c(\alpha, \beta)$  are holomorphic with respect to  $\alpha, \beta$  small, the derivatives

appearing in (3.4) can be obtained as integrals of radii  $\asymp L^{-1}$  around the points  $-R/L$ , from Cauchy's integral formula. Since the error terms hold uniformly on these contours, the same error terms that hold for  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  also hold for  $I_{\text{smooth}}$ .

Next we check that applying the differential operator to  $c(\alpha, \beta)$  does indeed give (1.3). Notice the simple formula

$$(3.5) \quad Q\left(\frac{-1}{\log T} \frac{d}{d\alpha}\right) X^{-\alpha} = Q\left(\frac{\log X}{\log T}\right) X^{-\alpha}.$$

Using (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q\left(-\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{d\alpha}\right) Q\left(-\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{d\beta}\right) c(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} M^{-\beta x - \alpha y} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T^{-v(\alpha+\beta)} P(x+u) P(y+u) Q(v+x\theta) Q(v+y\theta) dudv \Big|_{x=y=0}, \end{aligned}$$

which after evaluating at  $\alpha = \beta = -R/L$  and simplifying becomes

$$1 + \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} e^{R\theta(x+y)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{2Rv} P(x+u) P(y+u) Q(v+\theta x) Q(v+\theta y) dudv \Big|_{x=y=0}.$$

This simplifies to give the right hand side of (1.3), as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. TWO LEMMAS

A variation on the standard approximate functional equation ([IK] Theorem 5.3) gives

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G(s) = e^{s^2} p(s)$  where  $p(s) = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^2 - (2s)^2}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}$ , and define*

$$(4.1) \quad V_{\alpha, \beta}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1)} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_{\alpha, \beta}(s, t) x^{-s} ds, \quad g_{\alpha, \beta}(s, t) = \pi^{-s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + s + it}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + \beta + s - it}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it}{2}\right)}.$$

Furthermore, set

$$X_{\alpha, \beta, t} = \pi^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - it}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} - \beta + it}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it}{2}\right)}.$$

Then if  $\alpha, \beta$  have real part less than  $1/2$ , and for any  $A \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) &= \sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} n^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-it} V_{\alpha, \beta}(mn, t) \\ &+ X_{\alpha, \beta, t} \sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2} - \beta} n^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-it} V_{-\beta, -\alpha}(mn, t) + O_A((1 + |t|)^{-A}). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** Stirling's approximation gives for  $t$  large and  $s$  in any fixed vertical strip

$$(4.2) \quad X_{\alpha, \beta, t} = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha-\beta} (1 + O(t^{-1})), \quad g_{\alpha, \beta}(s, t) = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^s (1 + O(t^{-1}(1 + |s|^2))).$$

Furthermore, for any  $A \geq 0$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have uniformly in  $x$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad t^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} V_{\alpha, \beta}(x, t) \ll_{A, j} (1 + |t/x|)^{-A}.$$

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose  $w$  satisfies (2.1)-(2.3), and that  $h, k$  are positive integers with  $hk \leq T^{2\theta}$  with  $\theta < 1/2$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \ll L^{-1}$ . Then*

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \left(\frac{h}{k}\right)^{-it} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) dt = \sum_{hm=kn} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} n^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_{\alpha,\beta}(mn, t) w(t) dt \\ + \sum_{hm=kn} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} n^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_{-\beta,-\alpha}(mn, t) X_{\alpha,\beta,t} w(t) dt + O_{A,\theta}(T^{-A}).$$

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 4 to the left hand side. It suffices by symmetry to consider the first part of the approximate functional equation, giving

$$\sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} n^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \left(\frac{hm}{kn}\right)^{-it} V_{\alpha,\beta}(mn, t) dt.$$

The terms with  $hm = kn$  visibly give the first term on the right hand side of (4.4). By combining (2.3) with (4.3), note that we have uniformly in  $x$  that

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} w(t) V_{\alpha,\beta}(x, t) \ll_{j,A} (1 + |x/T|)^{-A} \Delta^{-j}.$$

Hence for  $hm \neq kn$ , we have by repeated integration by parts that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \left(\frac{hm}{kn}\right)^{-it} V_{\alpha,\beta}(mn, t) dt \ll_{j,A} \frac{(1 + \frac{mn}{T})^{-A}}{\Delta^j |\log \frac{hm}{kn}|^j}.$$

Say  $hm \geq kn + 1$ . Then

$$\left| \log \frac{hm}{kn} \right| \geq \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{kn} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2kn} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{hkmn}}.$$

The same inequality holds in case  $kn \geq hm + 1$ , by symmetry. The error terms from  $hm \neq kn$  are then easily bounded by  $O(T^{-A})$  for arbitrarily large  $A$ .  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF LEMMA 3

Inserting the definition of the mollifier  $\psi$ , we have

$$I(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{h,k \leq M} \frac{\mu(h)\mu(k)}{\sqrt{hk}} P\left(\frac{\log M/h}{\log M}\right) P\left(\frac{\log M/k}{\log M}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \left(\frac{h}{k}\right)^{-it} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) dt.$$

According to Lemma 5, write  $I(\alpha, \beta) = I_1(\alpha, \beta) + I_2(\alpha, \beta) + O(T^{-A})$ . Explicitly,

$$(5.1) \quad I_1(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{h,k \leq M} \frac{\mu(h)\mu(k)}{\sqrt{hk}} P\left(\frac{\log M/h}{\log M}\right) P\left(\frac{\log M/k}{\log M}\right) \sum_{hm=kn} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} n^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_{\alpha,\beta}(mn, t) w(t) dt.$$

Notice that  $I_2(\alpha, \beta)$  is obtained by replacing  $\alpha$  with  $-\beta$ ,  $\beta$  with  $-\alpha$ , and multiplying by  $X_{\alpha,\beta,t} = T^{-\alpha-\beta}(1 + O(L^{-1}))$ . That is,  $I(\alpha, \beta) = I_1(\alpha, \beta) + T^{-\alpha-\beta} I_1(-\beta, -\alpha) + O(T/L)$ .

**Lemma 6.** *We have  $I_1(\alpha, \beta) = c_1(\alpha, \beta) \widehat{w}(0) + O(T/L)$ , uniformly on any fixed annuli such that  $\alpha, \beta \asymp L^{-1}$ ,  $|\alpha + \beta| \gg L^{-1}$ , where*

$$(5.2) \quad c_1(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta) \log M} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} M^{\alpha+\beta y} \int_0^1 P(x+u) P(y+u) du \Big|_{x=y=0}.$$

**Remark.** Note that  $c_1(\alpha, \beta)$  can be alternatively expressed as

$$(5.3) \quad c_1(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta) \log M} \int_0^1 (P'(u) + \alpha \log M P(u))(P'(u) + \beta \log M P(u)) du.$$

We prove Lemma 6 in Section 6.

*Proof that Lemma 6 implies Lemma 3.* By adding and subtracting the same thing, we have

$$I(\alpha, \beta) = [I_1(\alpha, \beta) + I_1(-\beta, -\alpha)] + I_1(-\beta, -\alpha)(T^{-\alpha-\beta} - 1) + O(T/L).$$

We treat the two terms above differently.

We first compute the term in brackets using (5.3), getting

$$c_1(\alpha, \beta) + c_1(-\beta, -\alpha) = \int_0^1 2P'(u)P(u)du = 1.$$

As for the second term, we have from (5.2) that

$$(T^{-\alpha-\beta} - 1)c_1(-\beta, -\alpha) = \frac{1 - T^{-\alpha-\beta}}{(\alpha + \beta) \log M} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} M^{-\beta x - \alpha y} \int_0^1 P(x+u)P(y+u)du \Big|_{x=y=0}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1 - T^{-\alpha-\beta}}{(\alpha + \beta) \log M} = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^1 T^{-v(\alpha+\beta)} dv.$$

Gathering the formulas gives (3.3) although with the additional restriction that  $|\alpha + \beta| \gg L^{-1}$ . However, the holomorphy of  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $c(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha, \beta \ll L^{-1}$  implies that the error term is also holomorphic in this region. The maximum modulus principle extends the error term to this enlarged domain.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF LEMMA 6

A Mellin formula gives for  $1 \leq h \leq M$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(6.1) \quad \left( \frac{\log M/h}{\log M} \right)^i = \frac{i!}{(\log M)^i} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1)} \left( \frac{M}{h} \right)^v \frac{dv}{v^{i+1}}.$$

Using (6.1) and (4.1) in (5.1), we have

$$I_1(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \sum_{i,j} \frac{a_i a_j i! j!}{(\log M)^{i+j}} \sum_{hm=kn} \frac{\mu(h)\mu(k)}{h^{\frac{1}{2}} k^{\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} n^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^3 \int_{(1)} \int_{(1)} \int_{(1)} \frac{M^{u+v}}{h^v k^u} \frac{g_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)}{(mn)^s} \frac{G(s)}{s} ds \frac{du dv}{u^{i+1} v^{j+1}}.$$

We compute the sum over  $h, k, m, n$  as follows

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{hm=kn} \frac{\mu(h)\mu(k)}{h^{\frac{1}{2}+v} k^{\frac{1}{2}+u} m^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+s} n^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta+s}} = \frac{\zeta(1+u+v)\zeta(1+\alpha+\beta+2s)}{\zeta(1+\alpha+u+s)\zeta(1+\beta+v+s)} A_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v, s),$$

where the arithmetical factor  $A_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v, s)$  is given by an absolutely convergent Euler product in some product of half planes containing the origin. Next we move the contours to  $\text{Re}(u) = \text{Re}(v) = \delta$ , and then  $\text{Re}(s) = -\delta + \varepsilon$  (for  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small so that the arithmetical factor is absolutely convergent), crossing a pole at  $s = 0$  only since  $G(s)$  vanishes at the pole

of  $\zeta(1 + \alpha + \beta + 2s)$ . Since  $M \leq T^\theta$  with  $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $t \geq T/2$ , the new contour of integration gives  $O(T^{1-\varepsilon})$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , using (4.2). Thus

$$(6.3) \quad I_1(\alpha, \beta) = \widehat{w}(0)\zeta(1 + \alpha + \beta) \sum_{i,j} \frac{a_i a_j i! j!}{(\log M)^{i+j}} J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) + O(T^{1-\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} M^{u+v} \frac{\zeta(1+u+v) A_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v, 0)}{\zeta(1+\alpha+u)\zeta(1+\beta+v)} \frac{du dv}{u^{i+1}v^{j+1}}.$$

**Lemma 7.** *We have, uniformly for  $\alpha, \beta \ll L^{-1}$ ,*

$$(6.4) \quad J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) = \frac{(\log M)^{i+j-1}}{i!j!} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} M^{\alpha+\beta y} \int_0^1 (x+u)^i (y+u)^j du \Big|_{x=y=0} + O(L^{i+j-2}).$$

Lemma 6 follows directly from Lemma 7 by summing over  $i$  and  $j$ , and taking a Taylor expansion of  $\zeta(1 + \alpha + \beta)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 7.* We begin by using the Dirichlet series for  $\zeta(1+u+v)$  and reversing the order of summation and integration to get

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) = \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \left( \frac{M}{n} \right)^{u+v} \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v, 0)}{\zeta(1+\alpha+u)\zeta(1+\beta+v)} \frac{du dv}{u^{i+1}v^{j+1}}.$$

Using the standard zero-free region of  $\zeta$  and upper bound on  $1/\zeta$  (see [T], Theorem 3.8 and (3.11.8)), we obtain that  $J_{\alpha,\beta}(M)$  equals the residue at  $u = v = 0$  plus an error of size

$$\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{1}{n} (1 + \log \frac{M}{n})^{-2} \ll 1 \ll L^{i+j-2}.$$

For computing the residue we take contour integrals of radius  $\asymp L^{-1}$  and use the Taylor approximation

$$\frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v, 0)}{\zeta(1+\alpha+u)\zeta(1+\beta+v)} = (\alpha+u)(\beta+v) A_{0,0}(0, 0, 0) + O(L^{-3}).$$

We show in Section 7 below that  $A_{0,0}(0, 0, 0) = 1$ , a result we now use freely. Thus

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) = \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \left( \oint \left( \frac{M}{n} \right)^u (\alpha+u) \frac{du}{u^{i+1}} \right) \left( \oint \left( \frac{M}{n} \right)^v (\beta+v) \frac{dv}{v^{j+1}} \right) + O(L^{i+j-2}),$$

where the contours are circles of radius 1 around the origin.

We compute these two integrals exactly. Suppose  $a > 0$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint a^u (\alpha+u) \frac{du}{u^{l+1}} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ e^{\alpha x} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (ae^x)^u \frac{du}{u^{l+1}} \right]_{x=0} = \frac{1}{l!} \frac{d}{dx} e^{\alpha x} (x + \log a)^l \Big|_{x=0}.$$

Thus

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) = \frac{1}{i!j!} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} e^{\alpha x + \beta y} \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{1}{n} (x + \log(M/n))^i (y + \log(M/n))^j \Big|_{x=y=0} + O(L^{i+j-2}).$$

Note that

$$\frac{d}{dx} e^{\alpha x} (x + \log(M/n))^i \Big|_{x=0} = \frac{(\log M)^i}{\log M} \frac{d}{dx} M^{\alpha x} \left( x + \frac{\log(M/n)}{\log M} \right)^i \Big|_{x=0},$$

so that by summing over  $i$  and  $j$  we have

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) = \frac{(\log M)^{i+j-2}}{i!j!} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} M^{\alpha x + \beta y} \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{1}{n} \left(x + \frac{\log(M/n)}{\log M}\right)^i \left(y + \frac{\log(M/n)}{\log M}\right)^j \Big|_{x=y=0} + O(L^{i+j-2}).$$

By the Euler-Maclaurin formula, we can replace the sum over  $n$  by a corresponding integral without introducing a new error term (this requires some thought). That is,

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(M) = \frac{(\log M)^{i+j-2}}{i!j!} \frac{d^2}{dx dy} M^{\alpha x + \beta y} \int_1^M r^{-1} \left(x + \frac{\log(M/r)}{\log M}\right)^i \left(y + \frac{\log(M/r)}{\log M}\right)^j \Big|_{x=y=0} + O(L^{i+j-2}).$$

Changing variables  $r = M^{1-u}$  and simplifying finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 7. THE ARITHMETICAL FACTOR

Here we verify that  $A_{0,0}(0,0,0) = 1$  as claimed in the proof of Lemma 7. The proof is surprisingly easy. We show that  $A_{0,0}(s,s,s) = 1$  for all  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ . From (6.2) we have

$$A_{0,0}(s,s,s) = \sum_{hm=kn} \frac{\mu(h)\mu(k)}{(hkmn)^{\frac{1}{2}+s}},$$

noting that the ratios of zeta's on the right hand side of (6.2) cancel. The result now follows instantly from the Möbius formula.

## REFERENCES

- [C1] J. B. Conrey, *More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 399 (1989), 1–26.
- [C2] J. B. Conrey, *Zeros of derivatives of Riemann's  $\xi$ -function on the critical line*. J. Number Theory 16 (1983), no. 1, 49–74.
- [CG] J.B. Conrey and A.Ghosh, *A simpler proof of Levinson's theorem*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 97 (1985), no. 3, 385–395. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 94 (2007), no. 3, 594–646.
- [I] A. Ivić, *The Riemann zeta-function*. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function with applications. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1985.
- [IK] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [KV] A. Karatsuba and S. Voronin, *The Riemann zeta-function* Translated from the Russian by Neal Koblitz. de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 5. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1992.
- [Le] N. Levinson, *More than one third of the zeros of Riemann's zeta function are on  $\sigma = 1/2$* . Adv. Math. 13 (1974), 383–436.
- [S] A. Selberg, *On the zeros of Riemann's zeta-function*. Skr. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo I. (1942). no. 10, 1–59.
- [T] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*. Second edition. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.

*Current address:* School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540 USA

*E-mail address:* myoung@math.tamu.edu